• • •	Application	No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/806,615		MATSUO ET AL.
Exammer-initiated interview Summ	Examiner Art Unit	Art Unit	
	Callie E. Sho	sho	1714
All Participants:	Status of	Application: <u>Allo</u>	<u>wed</u>
(1) <u>Callie E. Shosho</u> .	(3)	• <b>·</b>	
(2) <u>Richard Anderson</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 10 December 2003	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		tative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 24,35			
24,00			
Prior art documents discussed:			
<b>-</b>			
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH	E CENEDAL NATUR	₩ ΩΕ \Λ/HΔΤ \Λ/Δ9	: DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATORI	OF WINT WAS	, DIQCOOCED.
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sometime directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant to provide a sometime interview in the Notice of Allowability ( ≤ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sometime did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The examiner w See Par + 立 ん eparate record of the	ill provide a writte シィピ). substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/Applicant's F	Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application	No	00/806	615
Abblication	INO.	US/AUD.	כוס.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Anderson in order to (i) ensure that independent claim 24 recites the same claim language as the other pending independent claims and thus, is distinguished from the prior art and (ii) cancel claim 35 which was redundant with respect to claim 34.